



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/737,333	12/16/2003	Stephen P. Goldschmidt	9501-73714	7566

23643 7590 11/15/2005

BARNES & THORNBURG
11 SOUTH MERIDIAN
INDIANAPOLIS, IN 46204

EXAMINER

TRAN, BINH Q

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

3748

DATE MAILED: 11/15/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/737,333

Applicant(s)

GOLDSCHMIDT ET AL.

Examiner

BINH Q. TRAN

Art Unit

3748

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 06 September 2005.
2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-7,9,11-16, 18-51 is/are pending in the application.
4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-7,9,11-16 and 18-51 is/are rejected.
7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10/13/2005
4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____
5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
6) ☐ Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

This office action is in response to the amendment filed September 06, 2005.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-7, 9, 11-16, 18-24, and 49-51 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Caren et al. (Caren) (Patent Number 6,321,531) in view of Mayfield (Patent Number 5,264,803).

Regarding claims 1, and 49-51, Caren discloses a circuit for providing a potential difference across a gap between two electrodes of a utilization device (e.g. 30, 40, 50, 70, 80, 100, 110, 130, 170, 1020), the circuit including a power source (e.g. 1000), a transformer (e.g. 1022) including a primary winding (e.g. 1034) and a secondary winding (e.g. 1036) for coupling across the electrodes (e.g. 101, 102, 174, 176), the power source coupled to the primary winding, a first switch coupled to one of the two terminals of the primary winding, and a second switch coupled to the other of the two terminals of the primary winding (e.g. 1032) (e.g. See col. 32, lines 24-67; cols. 33-35, lines 1-67; col. 36, lines 1-53). However, Caren fails to disclose the secondary winding having a universal winding configuration and wound in sections.

Mayfield teaches that it is conventional in the art, to use a transformer including a secondary winding having a universal winding configuration and wound in sections (See Figs. 8-11; col. 6, lines 7-67).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, to use a transformer including a secondary winding having a universal winding configuration and wound in sections of Caren, as taught by Mayfield for the purpose of reducing intra-winding capacitance and electric field, so as to further improve the performance and the efficiency of the transformer.

Regarding claim 2, Caren further discloses that each of the switches further includes a second terminal coupled to the source (e.g. See col. 32, lines 24-67; cols. 33-35, lines 1-67; col. 36, lines 1-53).

Regarding claim 3, Caren further discloses a source of operating frequency signals, the switches being coupled to the source of operating frequency signals (e.g. See cols. 37-41, lines 1-67).

Regarding claim 4, Caren further discloses that the source of operating frequency signals comprises a source of signals at a frequency which is between about 0.1 times a resonant frequency of a circuit including the secondary winding and about 10 times said resonant frequency (e.g. See cols. 37-41, lines 1-67).

Regarding claim 5, Caren further discloses that the electrodes, said circuit including the secondary winding further including the electrodes coupled across the secondary winding (e.g. See col. 32, lines 24-67; cols. 33-35, lines 1-67; col. 36, lines 1-53).

Regarding claim 6, Caren further discloses that the switches comprise solid-state switches, each switch including a control terminal coupled to the source of operating frequency signals (e.g. See col. 32, lines 24-67; cols. 33-35, lines 1-67; col. 36, lines 1-53).

Regarding claim 7, Caren further discloses that the switches comprise insulated gate bipolar transistors (e.g. See col. 32, lines 24-67; cols. 33-35, lines 1-67; col. 36, lines 1-53).

Regarding claim 9, Caren further discloses that the utilization device comprises one of: a fuel reformer; an oxides of nitrogen trap; and, a soot filter regenerator (e.g. See col. 15, lines 50-67; col. 16, lines 1-60).

Regarding claim 11, Caren further discloses that the source of operating signals comprises a source of signals having a fundamental frequency between about 20 KHz and about 100 KHz (e.g. See cols. 37-41, lines 1-67).

Regarding claim 12, Caren further discloses that the source of operating frequency signals comprises a source of operating frequency signals having a fundamental frequency between about 500 Hz and about 250 KHz modulated by a modulating signal having a frequency between about 50 Hz and 50 KHz (e.g. See cols. 37-41, lines 1-67).

Regarding claim 13, Caren further discloses that the source of operating frequency signals comprises a source of operating frequency signals having a fundamental frequency between about 20 KHz and about 100 KHz modulated by a modulating signal having a frequency between about 2 KHz and about 10 KHz, the modulating signal having a variable pulsewidth defining a duty cycle (e.g. See cols. 37-41, lines 1-67).

Regarding claim 14, Caren further discloses that the source of operating frequency signals comprises a source of operating frequency signals having a fundamental frequency

Art Unit: 3748

between about 20 KHz and about 100 KHz modulated by a modulating signal having a frequency between about 2 KHz and about 10 KHz, the modulating signal having a variable pulsewidth defining a duty cycle between about 20% and about 100% (e.g. See cols. 37-41, lines 1-67).

Regarding claim 15, Caren further discloses that a sensor (e.g. 14, 16) coupled to the source of operating frequency signals and adapted to sense a parameter of the utilization device (e.g. See col. 15, lines 50-67; col. 16, lines 1-60).

Regarding claim 16, Caren further discloses that the sensor comprises a device for providing a temperature-related output signal (e.g. See col. 15, lines 50-67; col. 16, lines 1-60).

Regarding claim 18, Caren further discloses that the sensor comprises a timer which times elapsed time since the occurrence of an event (e.g. See col. 15, lines 50-67; col. 16, lines 1-60).

Regarding claim 19, Caren further discloses that the sensor comprises a device for sensing the time duration of each of multiple states of a system capable of assuming multiple states, for assigning respective weights to the sensed time durations, for accumulating the weighted, sensed time durations, and for providing an output when the accumulated, weighted, sensed time durations reach a threshold (e.g. See col. 15, lines 50-67; col. 16, lines 1-60).

Regarding claim 20, Caren further discloses that the comprising a device for sensing the time duration of each of multiple states of a system capable of assuming multiple states comprises a device for sensing the time duration of operation of an engine in each of multiple ranges of at least one of engine load and engine torque (e.g. See col. 15, lines 50-67; col. 16, lines 1-60).

Art Unit: 3748

Regarding claim 21, Caren further discloses that the sensor comprises a device for sensing the time duration of operation of an engine in each of multiple ranges of both engine load and engine torque (e.g. See col. 15, lines 50-67; col. 16, lines 1-60).

Regarding claim 22, Caren further discloses that the sensor comprises a device for providing an output signal related to a concentration of a component of a fluid stream (e.g. See col. 15, lines 50-67; col. 16, lines 1-60).

Regarding claim 23, Caren further discloses that the sensor comprises a device for providing an output signal related to a concentration of a gas or mixture of gases in a gas stream (e.g. See col. 15, lines 50-67; col. 16, lines 1-60).

Regarding claim 24, Caren further discloses that the device for providing an output signal related to a concentration of a gas or mixture of gases in a gas stream comprises a device for providing an output signal related to the concentration of at least one of oxides of nitrogen, nitrogen and oxygen in a gas stream (e.g. See col. 15, lines 50-67; col. 16, lines 1-60).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 25-48 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102 (b) as being anticipated by Caren et al. (Caren) (Patent Number 6,321,531).

Regarding claims 25-26, Caren discloses a circuit for providing a potential difference across a gap between two electrodes of a utilization device (e.g. 30, 40, 50, 70, 80, 100, 110, 130, 170, 1020), the circuit including a power source (e.g. 1000), a transformer (e.g. 1022) including a primary winding (e.g. 1034) and a secondary winding (e.g. 1036) for coupling across the electrodes (e.g. 101, 102, 174, 176), the power source coupled to the primary winding, a first switch coupled to one of the two terminals of the primary winding, and a second switch coupled to the other of the two terminals of the primary winding (e.g. 1032) (e.g. See col. 32, lines 24-67; cols. 33-35, lines 1-67; col. 36, lines 1-53).

Regarding claim 27, Caren further discloses a dielectric (e.g. 43, 103, 151, 152) interposed between the core and the primary winding (e.g. See col. 20-24, lines 1-67).

Regarding claim 28, Caren further discloses that the secondary winding is wound around the primary winding (e.g. See col. 20-24, lines 1-67).

Regarding claim 29, Caren further discloses a dielectric interposed between the primary winding and the secondary winding (e.g. See col. 20-24, lines 1-67).

Regarding claim 30, Caren further discloses that each of the switches further includes a second terminal coupled to the source (e.g. See col. 32, lines 24-67; cols. 33-35, lines 1-67; col. 36, lines 1-53).

Regarding claim 31, Caren further discloses that the transformer comprises a core, the primary winding surrounding the core, the secondary winding surrounding the primary winding and wound in sections (e.g. See col. 32, lines 24-67; cols. 33-35, lines 1-67; col. 36, lines 1-53).

Regarding claim 32, Caren further discloses a source of operating frequency signals, the switches being coupled to the source of operating frequency signals (e.g. See cols. 37-41, lines 1-67).

Regarding claim 33, Caren further discloses that the switches comprise solid-state switches, each switch including a control terminal coupled to the source of operating frequency signals (e.g. See col. 32, lines 24-67; cols. 33-35, lines 1-67; col. 36, lines 1-53).

Regarding claim 34, Caren further discloses that the switches comprise insulated gate bipolar transistors (e.g. See col. 32, lines 24-67; cols. 33-35, lines 1-67; col. 36, lines 1-53).

Regarding claim 35, Caren further discloses that the switches comprise field effect transistors (e.g. See col. 32, lines 24-67; cols. 33-35, lines 1-67; col. 36, lines 1-53).

Regarding claim 36, Caren further discloses that the source of operating frequency signals comprises a source of signals at a frequency which is between about 0.1 times a resonant frequency of a circuit including the secondary winding and about 10 times said resonant frequency (e.g. See cols. 37-41, lines 1-67).

Regarding claim 37, Caren further discloses that the electrodes, said circuit including the secondary winding further including the electrodes coupled across the secondary winding (e.g. See col. 32, lines 24-67; cols. 33-35, lines 1-67; col. 36, lines 1-53).

Regarding claim 38, Caren further discloses that the utilization device comprises one of: a fuel reformer; an oxides of nitrogen trap; and, a soot filter regenerator (e.g. See col. 15, lines 50-67; col. 16, lines 1-60).

Regarding claim 39, Caren further discloses that a sensor (e.g. 14, 16) coupled to the source of operating frequency signals and adapted to sense a parameter of the utilization device (e.g. See col. 15, lines 50-67; col. 16, lines 1-60).

Regarding claim 40, Caren further discloses that the sensor comprises a device for providing a temperature-related output signal (e.g. See col. 15, lines 50-67; col. 16, lines 1-60).

Regarding claim 41, Caren further discloses that the sensor comprises a device for providing a pressure-related output signal (e.g. See col. 15, lines 50-67; col. 16, lines 1-60).

Regarding claim 42, Caren further discloses that the sensor comprises a timer which times elapsed time since the occurrence of an event (e.g. See col. 15, lines 50-67; col. 16, lines 1-60).

Regarding claim 43, Caren further discloses that the sensor comprises a device for sensing the time duration of each of multiple states of a system capable of assuming multiple states, for assigning respective weights to the sensed time durations, for accumulating the weighted, sensed time durations, and for providing an output when the accumulated, weighted, sensed time durations reach a threshold (e.g. See col. 15, lines 50-67; col. 16, lines 1-60).

Regarding claim 44, Caren further discloses that the comprising a device for sensing the time duration of each of multiple states of a system capable of assuming multiple states comprises a device for sensing the time duration of operation of an engine in each of multiple ranges of at least one of engine load and engine torque (e.g. See col. 15, lines 50-67; col. 16, lines 1-60).

Regarding claim 45, Caren further discloses that the sensor comprises a device for sensing the time duration of operation of an engine in each of multiple ranges of both engine load and engine torque (e.g. See col. 15, lines 50-67; col. 16, lines 1-60).

Regarding claim 46, Caren further discloses that the sensor comprises a device for providing an output signal related to a concentration of a component of a fluid stream (e.g. See col. 15, lines 50-67; col. 16, lines 1-60).

Regarding claim 47, Caren further discloses that the sensor comprises a device for providing an output signal related to a concentration of a gas or mixture of gases in a gas stream (e.g. See col. 15, lines 50-67; col. 16, lines 1-60).

Regarding claim 48, Caren further discloses that the device for providing an output signal related to a concentration of a gas or mixture of gases in a gas stream comprises a device for providing an output signal related to the concentration of at least one of oxides of nitrogen, nitrogen and oxygen in a gas stream (e.g. See col. 15, lines 50-67; col. 16, lines 1-60).

Prior Art

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure and consists of two patents:

Paul (Pat. No. 4855891), and Vargo (Pat. No. 4734828) all discloses a power supply for a circuit control.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed September 06, 2005 have been fully considered but they are not completely persuasive. ***Claims 1-7, 9, 11-16, and 18-51 are pending.***

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-7, 9, 11-16, and 18-51 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection as discussed above.

Applicants have argued that Caren does not teach or suggest Applicants's claimed invention. More specifically, Applicants assert that the reference to Caren fails to disclose "*the secondary winding having a universal winding configuration and wound in sections*". In response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., ***the secondary winding having a universal winding configuration and wound in sections***) are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

Applicant's amendment (Claims 1-7, 9, 11-16, and 18-51) necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL See MPEP, 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for response to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the date of this action. In the event a first response is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the

Art Unit: 3748

date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event will the statutory period for response expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Examiner Binh Tran whose telephone number is (571) 272-4865. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:00 a.m. to 4:00 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas E. Denion, can be reach on (571) 272-4859. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (571) 273-8300 for regular communications and for After Final communications.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

BT
November 11, 2005



Binh Q. Tran
Patent Examiner
Art Unit 3748